



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/447,974	05/23/1995	JOHN C. HARVEY	5634.145	9133
70813	7590	10/28/2009	EXAMINER	
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20001				MOORE JR, MICHAEL J
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2467		
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/28/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AAlpha-Kpetewama@goodwinprocter.com  
patentdc@goodwinprocter.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/447,974	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARVEY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR.	<b>Art Unit</b> 2467

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Ex Parte Quayle(1) MICHAEL J. MOORE, JR. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) Thomas J. Scott, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,836). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.**Date of Interview:** 15 October 2009**Time:** 10:00 am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

## Claims discussed:

*Claims 2, 3, 7, and 26 were discussed*

## Prior art documents discussed:

*Yanagimachi et al. (U.S. 3,936,595)***Part II.**

## SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J. Moore, Jr./  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2467

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendments to independent claims 2, 3, 7, and 26 that would obviate the Yanagimachi reference were suggested to Applicant by Examiner. Applicant agreed to incorporate these suggested amendments which are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Upon Applicant complying with the Administrative Requirement, the application may then proceed to allowance.